FOREIGN OPERATIONS APPROPRIATIONS/Access to Classified Information

SUBJECT: Foreign Operations, Export Financing, and Related Programs Appropriations Bill for FY 1999 . . . S. 2334. McConnell/Shelby motion to table the Dodd amendment No. 3527.

ACTION: MOTION TO TABLE AGREED TO, 50-43

SYNOPSIS: As reported, S. 2334, the Foreign Operations, Export Financing, and Related Programs Appropriations Bill for fiscal year 1999, will provide a total of \$12.6 billion in new budget authority for foreign aid programs. Also, it will provide \$17.9 billion in supplemental fiscal year (FY) 1998 funds for the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and \$310.7 million in such funds in "arrearages" to multilateral institutions. The IMF budget authority, as permitted by the Budget Agreement, will not be counted under the budget authority caps. IMF outlays are never scored under the outlay caps. Thus, this spending will be "off-budget." See vote Nos. 44 and 256 for related debate.

The Dodd amendment would require Federal agencies to review for possible declassification all documents requested by the Guatemala Clarification Commission and the National Human Rights Commissioner of Honduras. Instead of using the normal standards and procedures for declassifying documents, and which are designed to protect intelligence sources and methods, agencies would be required to use the much less secure standards and procedures that have been created for declassifying documents related to the Kennedy assassination (those documents are nearly 40 years old, and therefore raise fewer security issues; some of the documents covered by the Dodd amendment are only 1 year old). Further, agencies would have to complete the process within 120 days. Americans who alleged that humans rights abuses had been committed against them in those two countries would also have their declassification requests reviewed under these standards and procedures.

During debate, Senator McConnell, for himself and Senator Shelby, moved to table the Dodd amendment. A motion to table is not debatable; however, some debate preceded the making of the motion. Generally, those favoring the motion to table opposed the amendment; those opposing the motion to table favored the amendment.

Those favoring the motion to table contended:

(See other side)

YEAS (50)			NAYS (43)			NOT VOTING (7)	
Republicans Democrats (50 or 98%) (0 or 0%)		Republicans	Democrats		Republicans	Democrats	
		(0 or 0%)	(1 or 2%)	(42 or 100%)		(4)	(3)
Abraham Allard Ashcroft Bennett Bond Brownback Burns Campbell Chafee Coats Cochran Collins Craig D'Amato DeWine Enzi Faircloth Frist Gorton Gramm Grams Grassley Gregg Hagel Hatch	Hutchinson Hutchison Inhofe Kempthorne Kyl Lott Lugar Mack McCain McConnell Nickles Roberts Roth Santorum Sessions Shelby Smith, Bob Smith, Gordon Snowe Specter Stevens Thomas Thompson Thurmond Warner		Jeffords	Akaka Baucus Biden Boxer Breaux Bryan Bumpers Byrd Cleland Conrad Daschle Dodd Dorgan Durbin Feingold Feinstein Ford Graham Harkin Hollings Johnson	Kennedy Kerrey Kerry Kohl Landrieu Lautenberg Leahy Levin Lieberman Mikulski Moseley-Braun Moynihan Murray Reed Reid Robb Rockefeller Sarbanes Torricelli Wellstone Wyden	Coverdell- ² Domenici- ² Helms- ^{3AY} Murkowski- ² EXPLANAT 1—Official F 2—Necessari 3—Illness 4—Other SYMBOLS: AY—Annou AN—Annou PY—Paired I	ly Absent nced Yea nced Nay Yea

VOTE NO. 258 SEPTEMBER 2, 1998

Our colleagues' motives are admirable, but that fact does not excuse the harm that would come from the enactment of their amendment. First, this amendment would give extraordinary and unprecedented statutory privileges to foreign organizations to compel the disclosure of classified national security information. Second, it would give them the right to compel that disclosure within a very short period of time--4 months. Agencies would have to divert a large number of personnel and funds from their normal tasks (including declassification requests from American citizens) to complete the required reviews. Third, it would put at risk the lives of people who secretly helped the United States in its efforts to bring democracy to Guatemala and Honduras. The standard that would be used by the Dodd amendment is a special, lenient standard that was developed solely for use with information that might relate to the President Kennedy assassination, which occurred nearly 40 y